arXiv:1703.06622v1 [math.NT] 20 Mar 2017

Approximate functional equation for the derivatives of functions in Selberg class

Yoshikatsu Yashiro

Abstract

Let $F(s)$ be a function belonging to Selberg class. Chandrasekharan and Narasiman proved the approximate functional equation for $F(s)$. In this paper, we shall generalize this formula for the derivatives of $F(s)$.

1 Introduction

Selberg [8] introduced a class of zeta functions satisfying the following properties:

(a) The function $F(s)$ is written as an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_F(n)n^{-s}$ for $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 1$.

(b) There exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $(s-1)^m F(s)$ is an entire function of finite order.

(c) The function $\Phi(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j) F(s)$ satisfies $\Phi(s) = \omega\overline{\Phi}(1-s)$ where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $Q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}\, \mu_j \geq 0$, $\omega \in \mathbb{C} : |\omega| = 1$, and $\overline{X}$ denotes $\overline{X}(s) = \overline{X(\overline{s})}$.

(d) The Dirichlet coefficients $a_F(n)$ satisfy $a_F(n) = O(n^{\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

(e) The function $\log F(s)$ is written as $\log F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_F(n)n^{-s}$ where $b_F(n)$ satisfy $b_F(n) = 0$ for $n \neq p^r$ $(r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$ and $b_F(n) = O(n^{\theta})$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{<1/2}$,

which is called the Selberg class $\mathcal{S}$. For example, we see that the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ and the L-function attatched to cusp forms $L_f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n)n^{-s}$ belong to $\mathcal{S}$, where f is a cusp form of weight k given by $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e^{2\pi i n z}$. By Landau's [5] result (see (15) of p.214), the conditions (a)–(d) of $\mathcal{S}$ imply that the average of $a_F(s)$ is approximated as

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_F(n) = \begin{cases} x \sum_{r=0}^{p_F-1} c_r (\log x)^r + O(x^{\frac{d_F-1}{d_F+1}+\varepsilon}), & p_F \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \\ O(x^{\frac{d_F-1}{d_F+1}+\varepsilon}), & p_F \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $c_r \in \mathbb{C}$, p_F is the order of pole at $s = 1$ for $F(s)$, and d_F is given by $d_F = 2\sum_{j=1}^{q} \lambda_j$ called the degree of F. The conditon (c) implies that the m-th derivatives of $F(s)$ holds

$$F^{(m)}(s) = \sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^r \binom{n}{r} \chi_F^{(m-r)}(s) \overline{F}^{(r)}(1-s) \tag{1.2}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\chi_F(s)$ is given by

$$\chi_F(s) = \omega Q^{1-2s} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j})}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)}. \tag{1.3}$$

Chandrasekharan and Narasiman [2] proved the approximate functional equation for a class of zeta functions (see Theorem 2 of p.53). In the Selberg class, this equation is written as

$$F(s) = \sum_{n \le y} \frac{a_F(n)}{n^s} + \chi_F(s) \sum_{n \le y} \frac{a_F(n)}{n^{1-s}} + O(|t|^{\frac{d_F}{2}(1-\sigma)-\frac{1}{d_F}} (\log y)^{p_F}) \tag{1.4}$$

under the condition $a_n \ge 0$ where $F \in \mathcal{S}$, $s = \sigma + it : 0 \le \sigma \le 1, |t| \ge 1$ and $y = (Q\lambda_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots \lambda_q^{\lambda_q})|t|^{d_F/2}$. On the other hand, the author [9] showed the approximate functional equation for the derivatives of L-function attached for cusp form as

$$L_f^{(m)}(s) = \sum_{n \le \frac{|t|}{2\pi}} \frac{\lambda_f(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s} + \chi_{L_f}(s) \sum_{n \le \frac{|t|}{2\pi}} \frac{\lambda_f(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^{1-s}} + O(|t|^{1/2-\sigma+\varepsilon}),$$

and introduced the mean value formula for $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T |L_f^{(m)}(\sigma+it)|^2 dt \\ &= \begin{cases} A_f T(\log T)^{2m+1} + O(T(\log T)^{2m}), & \sigma = 1/2, \\ T\displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2 (\log n)^{2m}}{n^{2\sigma}} + O(T^{2(1-\sigma)}(\log T)^{2m}), & \sigma \in (1/2,1), \\ T\displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2 (\log n)^{2m}}{n^{2\sigma}} + O((\log T)^{2m+2}), & \sigma = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{L_f}(s) = (-1)^{k/2}(2\pi)^{1-2s}\Gamma(1-s+\frac{k-1}{2})/\Gamma(s+\frac{k-1}{2})$ and A_f is a positive constant depending on f. These results are generalized for those results for $L_f(s)$ proved by Good [4]. For $F \in \mathcal{S}, \sigma > 1/2$ and $T > 0$, let $N_{F^{(m)}}(\sigma, T)$ be a number of zeros for $F^{(m)}(s)$ in the region $\mathrm{Re}\, s \ge \sigma$, $0 < \mathrm{Im}\, s \le T$. As the application of those results, the author [10] obtained a zero-density estimate for $L_f^{(m)}(s)$, which is

$$N_{L_f^{(m)}}(\sigma, T) = O\left(\frac{T}{\sigma - 1/2} \log \frac{1}{\sigma - 1/2}\right) \quad (T \to \infty)$$

for $\sigma > 1/2$. This result corresponds the estimate of zero-density estimate for $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$

$$N_{\zeta^{(m)}}(\sigma, T) = O\left(\frac{T}{\sigma - 1/2} \log \frac{1}{\sigma - 1/2}\right) \quad (T \to \infty)$$

for $\sigma > 1/2$ which is shown by Aoki–Minamide [1]. However, zero-density estimates for derivatives for zeta-function belonging to Selberg class is not known.

In this paper we study the approximate functional equation for $F^{(m)}(s)$ in order to establish tools for estimating $N_{F^{(m)}}(\sigma, T)$ in next paper. To attain the above object we shall use Good's [4] method and the result (1.1). Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a class of C^∞-class functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\varphi(\rho) = 1$ for $\rho \in [0, 1/2]$ and $\varphi(\rho) = 0$ for

$\rho \in [2,\infty)$, which is called characteristic functions. Put $\varphi_0(\rho) := 1-\varphi(1/\rho)$ and $\|\varphi^{(j)}\|_1 = \int_0^\infty |\varphi^{(j)}(\rho)|d\rho$ where $\varphi^{(j)}$ is the j-th derivative function of $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}$. Then we see that $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\|\varphi^{(j)}\|_1 < \infty$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $r \in \{0,\dots,m\}$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C} : |t| \gg 1$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_j^{(r)}(s;\rho) :=& \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{g_F(s+w)}{g_F(s)}\frac{1}{w\cdots(w+j)}\frac{\chi_F^{(r)}}{\chi_F}(1-(s+w))\times \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}(\rho e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}i\mathrm{sgn}\,(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w}dw, \qquad (1.5)\\ \delta_j^{(r)}(s;\rho) :=& \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{\overline{g_F}(s+w)}{\overline{g_F}(s)}\frac{1}{w\cdots(w+j)}\frac{\chi_F^{(r)}}{\chi_F}(1-(s+w))\times \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\overline{\mu_j})}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\overline{\mu_j})}(\rho e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}i\mathrm{sgn}\,(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w}dw \qquad (1.6)\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}(t) = \pm 1$ if $t \gtrless 0$, $\mathcal{F} = \{(1/2\pm 1) - \sigma + \sqrt{|t|}e^{i\pi(\mp 1/2+\theta)} \mid \theta \in [0,1]\} \cup \{u - \sigma \pm i\sqrt{|t|} \mid u \in [-1/2, 3/2]\}$ (double-sign corresponds), and $g_F(s)$ is given by

$$g_F(s) = (s(1-s))^{p_F+m}\textstyle\prod_{j=1}^{q}(A_j(s)\overline{A_j}(1-s))^{m+1}. \qquad (1.7)$$

Here $A_j(s)$ is given by

$$A_j(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j > \lambda_j/2, \\ \prod_{n=0}^{\nu_j}(\lambda_j s+\mu_j-n), & \mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j \leq \lambda_j/2 \end{cases} \qquad (1.8)$$

where $\nu_j = 0$ when $\mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j > \lambda_j/2$ and $\nu_j = [\lambda_j/2 - \mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j]$ when $\mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j \leq \lambda_j/2$.

Then we obtain the approximate functional equation for $F^{(m)}(s)$ containing characteristic functions:

Theorem 1.1. *For any $F \in \mathcal{S}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>M_F}$, $s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma \in [0,1], |t| \gg 1$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : y_1 y_2 = (Q\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^2|t|^{d_F}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}F^{(m)}(s) =& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s}\varphi\left(\frac{n}{y_1}\right) + \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\chi_f^{(m-r)}(s)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\overline{a_F(n)}(-\log n)^m}{n^{1-s}}\varphi_0\left(\frac{n}{y_2}\right) + R_\varphi(s)\end{aligned}$$

where M_F is some positive constant and $R_\varphi(s)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&R_\varphi(s) \\ &:= \sum_{\frac{y_1}{2}\leq n\leq 2y_1}\frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\varphi^{(j)}\left(\frac{n}{y_1}\right)\left(-\frac{n}{y_1}\right)^r\gamma_j^{(m)}\left(s;\frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right)\times \\ &\quad + \chi_F(s)\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\sum_{\frac{y_2}{2}\leq n\leq 2y_2}\frac{\overline{a_F(n)}(-\log n)^r}{n^{1-s}}\times\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \sum_{j=1}^{l} \varphi^{(j)}\left(\frac{n}{y_2}\right)\left(-\frac{n}{y_2}\right)^j \delta_j^{(r)}\left(1-s; \frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right) +$$
$$+ O\left(y_1^{1-\sigma}(\log y_1)^{m+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}|t|^{-\frac{l}{2}}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1\right) +$$
$$+ O\left(y_2^{\sigma}|t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)-\frac{l}{2}}\|\varphi_0^{(l+1)}\|_1 \sum_{r=0}^{m}(\log y_2)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\right).$$

Next introducing new functions $\varphi_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\xi \notin \mathcal{R}$, replacing φ to φ_α in the above theorem, and choosing $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ in order to minimize the error term, we obtain the approximate functional equation for $F(s)$:

Theorem 1.2. *For any $F \in \mathcal{S}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $s = \sigma + it : 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, |t| \gg 1$ we have*

$$F^{(m)}(s) = \sum_{n\leq y_1} \frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s} + \sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\chi_F^{(m-r)}(s)\sum_{n\leq y_2}\frac{\overline{a_F(n)}(-\log n)^r}{n^{1-s}} +$$
$$+ O(y_1^{1-\sigma+\varepsilon}|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + O(y_2^{\sigma+\varepsilon}|t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)-\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{1.9}$$

where $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : y_1y_2 = (Q\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^2|t|^{d_F}$. By choosing $y_1 = y_2 = (Q\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})|t|^{d_F/2}$, the error terms of (1.9) are $O(|t|^{\frac{d_F}{2}(1-\sigma)-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon})$.

As an example of Theorem 1.2, we shall give the approximate functional equation for derivatives of Rankin-Selberg L-function. Let f and g be holomorphic cusp forms of weight k with respect to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_f(n)n^{\frac{k-1}{2}}e^{2\pi inz}$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_g(n)n^{\frac{k-1}{2}}e^{2\pi inz}$, the Rankin-Selberg L-function is defined by

$$L_{f\times g}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_f(n)\overline{\lambda_g(n)}}{n^{-s}}.$$

By results of Rankin [6], Selberg [7] and Delinge [3], we see that $L_{f\times g}(s)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}$. Hence we obtain

$$L_{f\times g}^{(m)}(s) = \sum_{n\leq\frac{|t|^2}{4\pi^2}}\frac{\lambda_{f\times g}(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s} +$$
$$+\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\chi_{L_{f\times g}}^{(m-r)}(s)\sum_{n\leq\frac{|t|^2}{4\pi^2}}\frac{\overline{\lambda_{f\times g}(n)}(-\log n)^r}{n^{1-s}} + O(|t|^{\frac{3}{2}-2\sigma+\varepsilon})$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $s = \sigma + it : \sigma \in [0,1], |t| \gg 1$, and $\chi_{L_{f\times g}}(s)$ is given by

$$\chi_{L_{f\times g}}(s) = (2\pi)^{4s-2}\frac{\Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(1-s+k-1)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s+k-1)}.$$

In next section we shall show preliminary lemmas to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Using these lemmas we shall give Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 3 and 4 respectively.

2 Some Lemmas

First for $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}$ we define

$$K_\varphi(w) := w \int_0^\infty \varphi(\rho)\rho^{w-1} d\rho \quad (\mathrm{Re}\, w > 0).$$

Then $K_\varphi(w)$ has the following properties:

Lemma 2.1 ([4, LEMMA 3]). *The function $K_\varphi(w)$ is analytically continued to the whole w-plane. Furthermore $K_\varphi(w)$ has the functional equation*

$$K_\varphi(w) = K_{\varphi_0}(-w). \tag{2.1}$$

and the integral representation

$$K_\varphi(w) = \frac{(-1)^{l+1}}{(w+1)\cdots(w+l)} \int_0^\infty \varphi^{(l+1)}(\rho)\rho^{w+l} d\rho \tag{2.2}$$

for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Especially $K_\varphi(0) = 1$.

Next to approximate $(\chi_F^{(r)}/\chi_F)(s)$, we shall use the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2 ([9, Lemma 2.3]). *Let F and G be holomorphic functions in the region in D satisfying $\log F(s) = G(s)$ and $F(s) \neq 0$ for $s \in D$. Then for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ there exist $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $C_{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that*

$$\frac{F^{(r)}}{F}(s) = \sum_{1\ell_1+\cdots+r\ell_r=r} C_{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_r} (G^{(1)}(s))^{\ell_1} \cdots (G^{(r)}(s))^{\ell_r}. \tag{2.3}$$

for $s \in D$. Especially $C_{r,0^{\cdots},0} = 1$.

Before approximating $(\chi_F^{(r)}/\chi_F)(s)$ we shall show the following formulas:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Re}\, z < \delta, |\mathrm{Im}\, z| < 1\}$ where $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. For any $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ we satisfy the following formulas:*

(i) $$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{(s+n)^l} = \begin{cases} O(|t|^{-(l-1)}), & |t| \gg 1, \\ O(1), & |t| \ll 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{where } l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}.$$

(ii) $$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{s+n} - \frac{1}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} -\log|t| + i\pi\mathrm{sgn}(t)/2 - \gamma + O(|t|^{-1}), & |t| \gg 1, \\ O(1), & |t| \ll 1, \end{cases}$$
where γ is the Euler's constant given by $\gamma = 1 - \int_1^\infty \{u\}u^{-2}du$.

Proof. Using partial summation we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{(s+n)^l} = l\int_1^\infty \frac{u - \{u\}}{(s+u)^{l+1}} du \ll \int_1^\infty \left(\frac{1}{|s+u|^l} + \frac{|s|}{|s+u|^{l+1}}\right) du. \tag{2.4}$$

The estimates

$$|u+s| \geq \begin{cases} |\mathrm{Im}(u+s)| \geq |s\sin\varepsilon|, & u \leq |s| \text{ and } \arg s \in [-\pi+\varepsilon, \pi-\varepsilon], \\ |\mathrm{Re}(u+s)| \geq |s|\cos\varepsilon, & u \leq |s| \text{ and } \arg s \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], \\ |\mathrm{Re}(u+s)| \geq u, & u \geq |s|, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

give that the right-hand side of (2.4) is

$$\ll \int_1^{|s|} \left(\frac{1}{|s\sin\varepsilon|^l} + \frac{|s|}{|s\sin\varepsilon|^{l+1}} \right) du + \int_{|s|}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{u^l} + \frac{|s|}{u^{l+1}} \right) du \ll \frac{1}{|s|^{l-1}} \tag{2.6}$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Combining (2.4) and (2.6), and estimating $|s|^{-(l-1)}$ we obtain the formula (i). Similally to (i), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s+n} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
&= \int_1^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(s+u)^2} - \frac{1}{u^2} \right) (u - \{u\}) du \\
&= \int_1^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s+u} - \frac{1}{u} \right) du + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{(-s)}{(s+u)^2} du - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\{u\}}{(s+u)^2} du + 1 - \gamma. \qquad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Then for $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ the first and second term of right-hand side of (2.7) are

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\log(s+1) \\
&= -\log s + O(|s|^{-1}) = \begin{cases} -\log|t| + i\pi \mathrm{sgn}(t)/2 + O(|t|^{-1}), & |t| \gg 1, \\ O(1), & |t| \ll 1 \end{cases} \qquad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$= -1 + \frac{1}{1+s} = \begin{cases} -1 + O(|t|^{-1}), & |t| \gg 1, \\ O(1), & |t| \ll 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

respectively, where (2.5) and $\log s = \log|t| + i\pi\mathrm{sgn}(t)/2 + O(|t|^{-1})$ (see [4, p.335]) were used. By combining (2.7)–(2.9) the formula (ii) is obtained. □

Using the infinite product of $\Gamma(s)$ and applying the above lemma with $F = \chi_F$, we obtain the approximate formula for $(\chi_F^{(r)}/\chi_F)(s)$ as follows:

Lemma 2.4. *For any $F \in \mathcal{S}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the function $(\chi_F^{(r)}/\chi_F)(s)$ has pole of order r at $s = -(\mu_j + n)/\lambda_j$, $1 + (\overline{\mu_j} + n)/\lambda_j$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. Put*

$$\begin{aligned}
D &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid a \leq \mathrm{Re}\, s \leq b\}, \\
E_1 &= \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; \mathrm{Re}\, z < \max_j \tfrac{-\mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j + \delta}{\lambda_j},\ \min_j \tfrac{-\mathrm{Im}\,\mu_j - 1}{\lambda_j} < \mathrm{Im}\, z < \max_j \tfrac{-\mathrm{Im}\,\mu_j + 1}{\lambda_j} \right\}, \\
E_2 &= \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; \mathrm{Re}\, z > \max_j \tfrac{\mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j - \delta}{\lambda_j} - 1,\ \min_j \tfrac{\mathrm{Im}\,\mu_j - 1}{\lambda_j} + 1 < \mathrm{Im}\, z < \max_j \tfrac{\mathrm{Im}\,\mu_j + 1}{\lambda_j} + 1 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then for any $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)$ we have

$$\frac{\chi_F^{(r)}}{\chi_F}(s) = \begin{cases} \left(-\log(C_F|t|^{d_F})\right)^m + O\left(\dfrac{(\log|t|)^{m-1}}{|t|} \right), & |t| \gg 1, \\ O(1), & |t| \ll 1 \end{cases}$$

where $C_F = (Q\lambda_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots \lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^2$.

Proof. First we check the location and order of pole for $(\chi_F^{(m)}/\chi_F)(s)$. Taking loga-

rithmic differentiation in the both-hand side of $(1/\Gamma)(s) = se^{\gamma s}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+s/n)e^{-s/n}$ and (1.3) we have

$$-\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s) = \frac{1}{s} + \gamma + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{s+n} - \frac{1}{n}\right), \tag{2.10}$$

$$\frac{\chi_F'}{\chi_F}(s) = -2\log Q - \sum_{j=1}^{q}\lambda_j\left(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\lambda_j s + \mu_j) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\lambda_j(1-s) + \overline{\mu_j})\right) \tag{2.11}$$

respectively. Put $G^{(l)}(s) = (d^{l-1}/ds^{l-1})G^{(1)}(s)$ and let $G^{(1)}(s)$ be the right-hand side of (2.11). Applying (2.10) to (2.11), we get

$$G^{(l)}(s) = \begin{cases} -2\log Q + d_F\gamma + \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{q}\lambda_j\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j s + \mu_j} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j}} + \right. \\ \left. + \displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j s + \mu_j + n} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j}+n} - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right), & l = 1, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{q}\lambda_j^l\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(-1)^{l-1}(l-1)!}{(\lambda_j s + \mu_j + n)^l} + \frac{(l-1)!}{(\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j}+n)^l}\right), & l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}. \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

Hence $G^{(l)}(s)$ has pole of order l at $s = -(\mu_j+n)/\lambda_j,\ 1+(\overline{\mu_j}+n)/\lambda_j$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$. By using Lemma 2.2 the first statement of Lemma 2.4 is showed.

Lastly we shall approximate $G^{(l)}(s)$. Since $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_j s + \mu_j) < \delta$, $|\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)| < 1$ for $s \in E_1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_j(1-s)+\mu_j) < \delta$, $|\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j})| < 1$ for $s \in E_2$, Lemma 2.3 gives that

$$G^{(j)}(s) = \begin{cases} O(|t|^{-(j-1)}), & j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}, |t| \gg 1, \\ O(1), & j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, |t| \ll 1. \end{cases}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}\setminus(E_1 \cup E_2)$. Especially in the case of $j = 1$ and $|t| \gg 1$, since $\mathrm{sgn}(\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_j s + \mu_j)) + \mathrm{sgn}(\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j})) = 0$, $G^{(1)}(s)$ is approximated as

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(1)}(s) &= -2\log Q + d_F\gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{q}\lambda_j(-2\log|\lambda_j t + \mathrm{Im}\ \mu_j| - 2\gamma) + O(|t|^{-1}) \\ &= -\log\big((Q\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^2|t|^{d_F}\big) + O(|t|^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}\setminus(E_1 \cup E_2)$ and $|t| \gg 1$. By Lemma 2.2 a desired approximation is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\chi_F^{(m)}}{\chi_F}(s) =& (G^{(1)}(s))^m + O(|G^{(1)}(s)|^{m-2}|G^{(2)}(s)|) \\ =& \begin{cases} (-d_F\log(C_F|t|))^m + O(|t|^{-1}(\log t)^{m-1}), & |t| \gg 1, \\ O(1), & |t| \ll 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

Next in order to estimate the gamma-factors of (1.5) and (1.6), we shall use the following estimates:

Lemma 2.5 ([4, Lemma 2 of p.334]). *For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ put $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid a \le \operatorname{Re} z \le b\}$ and $E_- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z < 1/2, |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1\}$. Then for any fixed $s \in D$ and $C_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we have*

$$\left|\frac{\Gamma(s+w)}{\Gamma(s)}(e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\operatorname{sgn}(t)})^w\right| \le \begin{cases} C_1\dfrac{(1+|t+v|)^{\sigma+u-1/2}}{|t|^{\sigma-1/2}}, & \text{if } s+w \in D \setminus E_-, \\ C_2|t|^u, & \text{if } |w| \le C_0\sqrt{|t|}. \end{cases}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants.

Replace $s \mapsto \lambda_j s+\mu_j$ and $w \mapsto \lambda_j w$ in the above lemma. Using the trivial estimate $(1+|\lambda_j(t+v)+\operatorname{Im}\mu_j|)^{\lambda_j(\sigma+u)+\operatorname{Re}\mu_j-1/2} \asymp \lambda_j^{\lambda_j u}(1+|t+v|)^{\lambda_j(\sigma+u)+\operatorname{Re}\mu_j-1/2}$ for $s \in D$ and $s+w \in D \setminus E_1$, and multiplying the above formula for $j \in \{1,\dots,q\}$, a desired estimate is obtained:

Lemma 2.6. *Let D and E_1 be those of Lemma 2.4 respectively. Then for any fixed $s \in D$ and $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\prod_{j=1}^q \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}(e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\operatorname{sgn}(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w}\right| \\ &\le \begin{cases} c_1(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^u\dfrac{(1+|t+v|)^{\frac{d_F(\sigma+u)+e_F-q}{2}}}{|t|^{\frac{d_F\sigma+e_F-q}{2}}}, & \text{if } s+w \in D \setminus E_1, \\ c_2(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_r^{\lambda_r}|t|^{\frac{d_F}{2}})^u, & \text{if } |w| \le c_0\sqrt{|t|}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $e_F := 2\sum_{j=1}^q \operatorname{Re}\mu_j$ and c_1, c_2 are constants depending on $\lambda_1, \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_q, \mu_q$.

By using Stirling's formula and residue theorem the functions $\gamma_j^{(r)}(s;\rho)$ and $\delta_j^{(r)}(s;\rho)$ are approximate as follows:

Lemma 2.7. *For any $j, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C} : |t| \gg 1$ we have*

$$\gamma_j^{(r)}\left(s; \frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right) = \begin{cases} (\chi_F^{(r)}/\chi_F)(1-s), & j=0, \\ O(|t|^{-1}(\log|t|)^r), & j=1, \\ O(|t|^{-\frac{j}{2}}(\log|t|)^r), & j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 2}. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

The function $\delta_j^{(r)}(s; (\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{-\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|^{-1})$ equals the right hand side of (2.13).

Proof. Since $|w| \ll \sqrt{|t|}$ for $w \in \mathcal{F}$, from Lemma 2.6 we can obtain a desired formula in the case of $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 2}$:

$$\gamma_j^{(r)}\left(s; \frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right) \ll \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{(\log|t|)^r}{|t|^{\frac{j+1}{2}}}|dw| \ll \frac{(\log|t|)^r}{|t|^{\frac{j}{2}}}.$$

Using Cauchy's residue theorem we have $\gamma_0^{(r)}(s,\rho) = (\chi_F^{(r)}/\chi_F)(1-s)$ and

$$\gamma_1^{(r)}\left(s; \frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\chi_F^{(r)}}{\chi_F}(1-s) - \frac{g_F(s-1)}{g_F(s)}\frac{\chi_F^{(r)}}{\chi_F}(2-s)\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s-1)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}(\lambda_j it)^{\lambda_j} \tag{2.14}$$

where we used $|t|e^{\frac{\pi}{2}i\mathrm{sgn}(t)} = it$. It is clear that

$$\frac{g_F(s-1)}{g_F(s)} = \frac{1+O(|s|^{-1})}{1+O(|s|^{-1})} = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right). \tag{2.15}$$

Stirling's formula $\Gamma(s) = \sqrt{2\pi}s^{s-1/2}e^{-s}(1+O(|s|^{-1}))$ and the trivial approximation $\lambda_j s + \mu_j = i\lambda_j t(1+O(|t|^{-1}))$ give that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s-1)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)} &= \frac{(i\lambda_j t)^{\lambda_j(s-1)+\mu_j-1/2}e^{-i\lambda_j t}(1+O(|t|^{-1}))}{(i\lambda_j t)^{\lambda_j s+\mu_j-1/2}e^{-i\lambda_j t}(1+O(|t|^{-1}))} \\ &= (\lambda_j it)^{-\lambda_j}(1+O(|t|^{-1})),\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Combining (2.14)–(2.16) and using Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1^{(r)}\left(s; \frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right) =& \frac{\chi_F^{(r)}}{\chi_F}(1-s) - \frac{\chi_F^{(r)}}{\chi_F}(2-s) + O\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\left|\frac{\chi_F^{(r)}}{\chi_F}(2-s)\right|\right) \\ =& O(|t|^{-1}(\log|t|)^r).\end{aligned}$$

By the same discussion, the approximate formula of $\delta_j^{(r)}(s; 1/((\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|))$ is also obtained. □

Finally, in order to prove Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 1.1, we introduce new functions. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $|t| \gg 1$ we set

$$\xi(\rho) := \begin{cases} 1, & \rho \in [0,1], \\ 0, & \rho \in [1,\infty). \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_\alpha(\rho) := \begin{cases} 1, & \rho \in [0, 1-(2|t|^\alpha)^{-1}], \\ \varphi(1+(\rho-1)|t|^\alpha), & \rho \in [1-(2|t|^\alpha)^{-1}, 1+|t|^{-\alpha}], \\ 0, & \rho \in [1+|t|^{-\alpha}, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_{0\alpha}(\rho) := 1 - \varphi_\alpha(1/\rho).$$

Then these function have the following properties:

Lemma 2.8 ([4, (12)–(15)])**.** *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}$ we satisfy the following statements (i)–(iv):*

(i) $\varphi_\alpha, \varphi_{0\alpha} \in \mathcal{R}$.

(ii) $(\varphi_\alpha - \xi)(\rho) = 0$, $(\varphi_{0\alpha} - \xi)(\rho) = 0$ *for* $\rho \in [0, 1-(2|t|^\alpha)^{-1}] \cup [1+|t|^{-\alpha}, \infty)$.

(iii) $\varphi_\alpha^{(j)}(\rho) = 0$, $\varphi_{0\alpha}^{(j)}(\rho) = 0$ *for* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ *and* $\rho \in [0, 1-(2|t|^\alpha)^{-1}] \cup [1+|t|^{-\alpha}, \infty)$.

(iv) $\varphi_\alpha^{(j)}(\rho) \ll |t|^{\alpha j}$, $\varphi_{0\alpha}^{(j)}(\rho) \ll |t|^{\alpha j}$, $\|\varphi_\alpha^{(j)}\|_1 \ll |t|^{\alpha(j-1)}$, $\|\varphi_{0\alpha}^{(j)}\|_1 \ll |t|^{\alpha(j-1)}$ *for* $\rho \in [0,\infty)$ *and* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

First for $s=\sigma+it:\sigma\in[0,1],|t|\gg 1$, we shall use Cauchy's integral theorem in the region

$$D_\sigma=\{w\in\mathbb{C}\mid w=u+iv,\ -1/2-\sigma\le u\le 3/2-\sigma,\ v\in\mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then from (1.2) and Lemma 2.2 the following lemma is obtained:

Proposition 3.1. *For any $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, $F\in\mathcal{S}$, $s=\sigma+it:\sigma\in[0,1],|t|\gg 1$, $\varphi\in\mathcal{R}$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we have*

$$F^{(m)}(s)=G_m(s;x,\varphi)+\chi_F(s)\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}H_r(1-s;1/x,\varphi_0)$$

where $G_r(s;x,\varphi)$ and $H_r(s;x,\varphi)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_r(s;x,\varphi)\\
=&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\frac{3}{2}-\sigma)}\frac{g_F(s+w)}{g_F(s)}\frac{K_\varphi(w)}{w}\frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(1-(s+w))F^{(r)}(s+w)\times\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}(Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}}xe^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w}dw, \qquad (3.1)\\
&H_r(s;x,\varphi)\\
=&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\frac{3}{2}-\sigma)}\frac{\overline{g_F}(s+w)}{\overline{g_F}(s)}\frac{K_\varphi(w)}{w}\frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(1-(s+w))\overline{F^{(r)}}(s+w)\times\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\overline{\mu_j})}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\overline{\mu_j})}(Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}}xe^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w}dw \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Here $(3/2-\sigma)$ denotes $\{3/2-\sigma+iv\mid v\in\mathbb{R}\}$.

Proof. For $r\in\{0,1,\dots,m\}$ and $|v|\gg|t|$ let

$$\begin{aligned}
I_r(v)=&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-1/2-\sigma+iv}^{3/2-\sigma+iv}\frac{g_F(s+w)}{g_F(s)}\frac{K_\varphi(w)}{w}\frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(s+w)F^{(r)}(s+w)\times\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}(Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}}xe^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w}dw. \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

First we shall show that the integrand of (3.3) is holomorphic in $D_\sigma\setminus\{0\}$. From Lemma 2.1, $K_\varphi(w)(Q^{2/d_F}xe^{-i\pi\mathrm{sgn}(t)/2})^{d_Fw/2}$ is holomorphic in D_σ. Since $F^{(m)}(w+s)$ has pole of order p_F+m at most at $w=1-s$, we see that

$$(s+w-1)^{p_F+m}F^{(m)}(s+w) \qquad (3.4)$$

is holomorphic in $w\in D_\sigma$.

Here we shall consider the holomorphicity of gamma-factor of (3.3). In the case of $\mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j>\lambda_j/2$, since $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)\ge-\lambda_j/2+\mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j>0$ for $w\in D_\sigma$ we see that $A_j(s+w)\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)=\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)$ is holomorphic in D_σ. On the other hand, in the case of $\mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j\le\lambda_j/2$, we have $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j+[\lambda_j/2-\mathrm{Re}\,\mu_j]+1)=$

$1-\{\lambda_j/2-\operatorname{Re}\mu_j\}>0$ for $w\in D_\sigma$. Hence the functional equation for $\Gamma(s)$ implies that

$$A_j(s)\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)=\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j+[\lambda_j/2-\operatorname{Re}\mu_j]+1) \tag{3.5}$$

is holomorphic in D_σ.

Next we consider the existence of pole for $(\chi_F^{(m-r)}/\chi_F)(s+w)$ in D_σ. In the case of $\operatorname{Re}\mu_j>\lambda_j/2$, since

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Re}(-s-(\mu_j+n)/\lambda_j)\le-\sigma-(\operatorname{Re}\mu_j)/\lambda_j<-1/2-\sigma,\\&\operatorname{Re}(1-s+(\overline{\mu_j}+n)/\lambda_j)\ge1-\sigma+\operatorname{Re}\mu_j/\lambda_j>3/2-\sigma\end{aligned}$$

for $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$, we see that $(\chi_F^{(m-r)}/\chi_F)(s+w)$ does not have pole in D_σ. On the other hand, in the case of $\operatorname{Re}\mu_j\le\lambda_j/2$, since

$$\operatorname{Re}(-s-(\mu_j+n)/\lambda_j)\in\begin{cases}[-\sigma-1/2,-\sigma], & n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}\cap\mathbb{Z}_{\le[\lambda_j/2-\operatorname{Re}\mu_j]},\\(-\infty,-1/2-\sigma), & n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>[\lambda_j/2-\operatorname{Re}\mu_j]},\end{cases}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(1-s+(\overline{\mu_j}+n)/\lambda_j)\in\begin{cases}[1-\sigma,3/2-\sigma], & n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}\cap\mathbb{Z}_{\le[\lambda_j/2-\operatorname{Re}\mu_j]},\\(3/2-\sigma), & n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>[\lambda_j/2-\operatorname{Re}\mu_j]},\end{cases}$$

from Lemma 2.4 the points $w=-s-(\mu_j+n)/\lambda_j$, $1-s+(\overline{\mu_j}+n)/\lambda_j$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}\cap\mathbb{Z}_{\le[\lambda_j/2-\operatorname{Re}\mu_j]}$ are pole of order $(m-r)$ for $(\chi_F^{(m-r)}/\chi_F)(s+w)$ in D_σ. Therefore

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q}(A_j(s+w)\overline{A_j}(1-(s+w)))^{m-r}\frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(s+w) \tag{3.6}$$

is holomorphic in D_σ. Combining (3.4)–(3.6) we find that the integrand of (3.2) is holomorphic $w\in D_\sigma\setminus\{0\}$.

Next we shall show $I_r(v)\to0$ when $v\to\infty$. Trivial estimate gives

$$\frac{g_F(s+w)}{g_F(s)}\ll|v|^{2(p_F+m)+(m+1)f_F} \tag{3.7}$$

where $f_F=2\sum_{j=1}^{q}\max\{0,[\lambda_j/2-\operatorname{Re}\mu_j]\}$. To obtain an estimate of $F^{(r)}(s+w)$ in $w\in D_\sigma$, we shall consider estimates of $\chi_F(s)$ and $(\chi_F^{(r)}/\chi_F)(s)$. By the same method of (2.16) and $it=|t|e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\operatorname{sgn}(t)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j})}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}&=\frac{(-i\lambda_j t)^{\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j}-1/2}e^{i\lambda_j t}}{(i\lambda_j t)^{\lambda_j s+\mu_j-1/2}e^{-i\lambda_j t}}(1+O(|t|^{-1}))\\&=(\lambda_j|t|)^{\lambda_j(1-2s)-2i\operatorname{Im}\mu_j}e^{i(2\lambda_j+\frac{\pi}{2}(-\lambda_j-2\operatorname{Re}\mu_j+1)\operatorname{sgn}(t))}(1+O(|t|^{-1}))\\&=(\lambda_j|t|)^{\lambda_j(1-2\sigma)}e^{i\theta_j(t)}(1+O(|t|^{-1}))\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $\theta_j(t)=2\lambda_j+\operatorname{sgn}(t)\cdot(-\lambda_j-2\operatorname{Re}\mu_j+1)\pi/2-\log(\lambda_j|t|)^{2(\lambda_j+\operatorname{Im}\mu_j)}$. Hence we obtain

$$\chi_F(s)=\omega C_F{}^{\frac12-\sigma}e^{i\theta_F(t)}|t|^{d_F(\frac12-\sigma)}(1+O(|t|^{-1})) \tag{3.9}$$

where $\theta_F(t)=d_F+\operatorname{sgn}(t)\cdot(-d_F/2-e_F+q)\pi/2-\log(C'_F|t|^{d_F+e_F})$ and $C'_F=$

$(Q\prod_{j=1}^q \lambda_j^{\lambda_j+\mathrm{Im}\,\mu_j})^2$. Combining (1.2), (3.9) and Lemma 2.4 we have

$$F^{(r)}(s) \ll |t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)}\sum_{j=0}^{r}(\log|t|)^{r-j}|F^{(j)}(1-\sigma+it)| \ll |t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)}(\log|t|)^r$$

for $s\in\mathbb{C}:\sigma\in\mathbb{R}_{<0}, |t|\gg 1$. Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem implies

$$F^{(r)}(s+w) \ll |v|^{\frac{d_F}{2}(\frac{3}{2}-(\sigma+u))}(\log|v|)^r \tag{3.10}$$

uniformly for $u\in[-1/2-\sigma, 3/2-\sigma]$. By (3.7), (3.10), Lemmas 2.4, 2.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_r(v) \ll& \int_{-1/2-\sigma}^{3/2-\sigma} |v|^{2(p_F+m)+(m+1)f_F}\frac{\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1}{|v|^{l+1}}(\log|v|)^r\times \\ &\times |v|^{\frac{d_F}{2}(\frac{3}{2}-(\sigma+u))}(\log|v|)^m|v|^{\frac{d_F(\sigma+u)+e_F-q}{2}}du \\ &\ll |v|^{\frac{3d_F}{4}+\frac{e_F-q}{2}+2(p_F+m)+(m+1)f_F-l-1}(\log|v|)^m\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

when $|v|\gg|t|$. Choosing $l\in\mathbb{Z}_{>M_F}$ we find that $I_r(v)\to 0$ when $v\to\infty$, where $M_F = 3d_F/4+(e_F-q)/2+2(p_F+m)+(m+1)f_F$. Cauchy's integral theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(m)}(s) =& \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\int_{(3/2-\sigma)}-\int_{(-1/2-\sigma)}\right)\frac{g_F(s+w)}{g_F(s)}\frac{K_\varphi(w)}{w}F^{(m)}(s+w)\times \\ &\times\prod_{j=1}^q\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}(Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}}xe^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w}dw. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Here the first term of right-hand side of (3.11) is

$$= G_m(s;x,\varphi). \tag{3.12}$$

Since (1.3) implies

$$\frac{\chi_F(s+w)}{\chi_F(s)} = \frac{1}{Q^{2w}}\prod_{j=1}^q\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(1-s-w)+\overline{\mu_j})}{\Gamma(\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j})}\times\prod_{j=1}^q\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)},$$

combining this formula and (1.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &F^{(m)}(s+w)\prod_{j=1}^q\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)} \\ =&\frac{\chi_F(s)}{Q^{2w}}\prod_{j=1}^q\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1(1-s-w)+\overline{\mu_1})}{\Gamma(\lambda_1(1-s)+\overline{\mu_1})}\sum_{r=0}^m(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(s+w)\overline{F^{(r)}}(1-s-w). \end{aligned}$$

By replacing $w\mapsto -w$ and using this formula, (2.1) and $\overline{g_F}(1-s)=g_F(s)$, the second term of right-hand side of (3.11) is

$$\begin{aligned} =&\chi_F(s)\sum_{r=0}^m(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\times\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(3/2-(1-\sigma))}\frac{\overline{g_F}(1-s+w)}{\overline{g_F}(1-s)}\frac{K_{\varphi_0}(w)}{w}\times \\ &\times\overline{F^{(r)}}(1-s+w)\frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(1-(1-s+w))\prod_{j=1}^q\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(1-s+w)+\overline{\mu_j})}{\Gamma(\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j})}\times \end{aligned}$$

$$\times (Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}} x^{-1} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(-t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w} dw$$
$$= \chi_F(s) \sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^r \binom{m}{r} H_r(1-s; 1/x, \varphi_0). \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, from (3.11) and (3.13) Proposition 3.1 is obtained. □

Next applying residue theorem to the functions $G_r(s;x,\varphi)$ and $H_r(s;x,\varphi)$, then these functions are approximated as follows:

Proposition 3.2. *For any $F \in \mathcal{S}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $r \in \{0,\dots,m\}$, $s = \sigma + it : \sigma \in [0,1], |t| \gg 1$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>M_F}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \sqrt{C_F}(x|t|)^{\frac{d_F}{2}} = y$, we have*

$$G_r(s;x,\varphi)$$
$$= \sum_{n \leq 2y} \frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^r}{n^s} \sum_{j=0}^{l} \varphi^{(j)}\left(\frac{n}{y}\right)\left(-\frac{n}{y}\right)^j \gamma_j^{(m-r)}\left(s; \frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_r{}^{\lambda_r})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right) +$$
$$+ O(y^{1-\sigma}(\log y)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}|t|^{-\frac{l}{2}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|) \tag{3.14}$$
$$H_r(s;x,\varphi)$$
$$= \sum_{n \leq 2y} \frac{\overline{a_F(n)}(-\log n)^r}{n^s} \sum_{j=0}^{l} \varphi^{(j)}\left(\frac{n}{y}\right)\left(-\frac{n}{y}\right)^j \delta_j^{(m-r)}\left(s; \frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_r{}^{\lambda_r})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right) +$$
$$+ O(y^{1-\sigma}(\log y)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}|t|^{-\frac{l}{2}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|), \tag{3.15}$$

where M_F is some positive constant, and $\gamma_{j,r}(s;\rho)$, $\delta_{j,r}(s;\rho)$ are given by (1.5), (1.6) *respectively.*

Proof. In order to show (3.14), we use (2.2) and write $F^{(r)}(s) = (\sum_{n\leq\rho y} + \sum_{n>\rho y}) \times$ $\times a_F(n)(-\log n)^r n^{-s}$ for $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 1$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then

$$G_r(s;x,\varphi) = I_1 + I_2 \tag{3.16}$$

where I_1, I_2 are given by

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\frac{3}{2}-\sigma)} \frac{g_F(s+w)}{g_F(s)} \left(\int_0^\infty \varphi^{(l+1)}(\rho)\rho^{w+l} \sum_{n\leq\rho y} \frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^r}{n^{s+w}} d\rho\right) \times$$
$$\times \frac{(-1)^{l+1}}{w\cdots(w+l)} \frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(s+w) \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 s+\mu_1)} (Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}} x e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w} dw, \tag{3.17}$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\frac{3}{2}-\sigma)} \frac{g_F(s+w)}{g_F(s)} \left(\int_0^\infty \varphi^{(l+1)}(\rho)\rho^{w+l} \sum_{n>\rho y} \frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^r}{n^{s+w}} d\rho\right) \times$$
$$\times \frac{(-1)^{l+1}}{w\cdots(w+l)} \frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(s+w) \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)} (Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}} x e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w} dw. \tag{3.18}$$

To approximate I_1 and I_2, we define $L_{\pm j}$, C_j $(j=1,2)$ as

$$L_{\pm j}=\{\sigma_j \pm iv \mid v\in[\sqrt{t},\infty)\},\quad C_j=\{\sigma_j+\sqrt{|t|}e^{-i\pi(\pm 1/2+\theta)}\mid \theta\in[0,1]\},$$

respectively, where $\sigma_1=-1/2-\sigma$ and $\sigma_2=3/2-\sigma$. The residue theorem gives

$$I_1=I_1'+\mathrm{Res}\,(I_1,\mathcal{F}),\quad I_2=I_2' \tag{3.19}$$

where I_1', I_2' are (3.17) replaced by $L_{-1}+C_1+L_{+1}$, $L_{-2}+C_2+L_{+2}$ respectively, and $\mathrm{Res}\,(I_1,\mathcal{F})$ is the sum of residue for the integrand of (3.17) in $\mathcal{F}$. Here by using the result

$$\int_\mu^\infty \varphi^{(l+1)}(\rho)\rho^{w+l}d\rho=\sum_{j=0}^{l}\varphi^{(l-j)}(\mu)\mu^{w+l-j}(-1)^{l-j}(w+l-j+1)\cdots(w+l)+$$
$$+(-1)^{l+1}w\cdots(w+l)\int_\mu^\infty \varphi(\rho)\rho^{w-1}d\rho$$

for $\mu\in\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (see p.337 of [4]) and the residue theorem, $\mathrm{Res}\,(I_1,\mathcal{F})$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}\,(I_1,\mathcal{F})\\
&=\sum_{n\leq 2y}\frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^r}{n^s}\times\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{F}}\frac{g_F(s+w)}{g_F(s)}\frac{(-1)^l}{w\cdots(w+l)}\frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(s+w)\times\\
&\quad\times\left(\int_{\frac{n}{y}}^\infty\varphi^{(l+1)}(\rho)\rho^{w+l}d\rho\right)\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}\left(Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}}\frac{x}{n^{\frac{2}{d_F}}}e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t)}\right)^{\frac{d_F}{2}w}dw\\
&=\sum_{n\leq 2y}\frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^r}{n^s}\sum_{j=0}^{l}\varphi^{(j)}\left(\frac{n}{y}\right)\left(-\frac{n}{y}\right)^j\gamma_j^{(m-r)}\left(s;Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}}\frac{x}{y^{\frac{2}{d_F}}}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

Next we shall estimate I_1' and I_2' as the error term of approximate functional equation. Partial summation and the assumption (1.1) give

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty\varphi^{(l+1)}(\rho)\rho^{w+l}\sum_{n\leq\rho y}\frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^r}{n^{s+w}}d\rho\\
&\ll\int_{1/2}^2|\varphi^{(l+1)}(\rho)|\rho^{u+l}\left(\frac{(\log\rho y)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}}{(\rho y)^{\sigma+u-1}}+\int_1^{\rho y}\frac{(\log u)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}}{u^{\sigma+u}}du\right)d\rho\\
&\ll y^{1-(\sigma+u)}(\log y)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1
\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s+w)\leq -1/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty\varphi^{(l+1)}(\rho)\rho^{w+l}\sum_{n>\rho y}\frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^r}{n^{s+w}}d\rho\\
&\ll\int_{1/2}^2|\varphi^{(l+1)}(\rho)|\rho^{u+l}\left(\int_{\rho y}^\infty\frac{(\log u)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}}{u^{\sigma+u}}du\right)d\rho\\
&\ll y^{1-(\sigma+u)}(\log y)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s+w) \geq 3/2$. From Lemma 2.4 and 2.6 we get the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(-1)^l}{w\cdots(w+l)}\frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(1-(s+w))\prod_{j=1}^{q}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(s+w)+\mu_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)}(Q^{\frac{2}{d_F}}xe^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t)})^{\frac{d_F}{2}w} \\
&\ll \begin{cases} \dfrac{(\log|t|)^{m-r}}{|t|^{\frac{l+1}{2}}}(\sqrt{C_F}(x|t|)^{\frac{d_F}{2}})^u, & w\in C_1\cup C_2\cup\mathcal{F}, \\ \dfrac{(\log|v|)^{m-r}}{|v|^{l+1}}\dfrac{(1+|t+v|)^{\frac{d_F(\sigma+u)+e_F-q}{2}}}{|t|^{\frac{d_F\sigma+e_F-q}{2}}}(\sqrt{C_F}x^{\frac{d_F}{2}})^u, & w\in L_{\pm1}\cup L_{\pm2}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Trivial estimate gives

$$\frac{g_F(s+w)}{g_F(s)} \ll \begin{cases} 1, & w\in C_1\cup C_2\cup\mathcal{F}, \\ \dfrac{(1+|t+v|)^{2(m+p_F)+(m+1)f_F}}{|t|^{2(m+p_F)+(m+1)f_F}}, & w\in L_{\pm1}\cup L_{\pm2}. \end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

Hence by combining (3.21)–(3.24), I_1' is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1' &\ll \int_{C_1}\frac{(\log|t|)^{m-r}}{|t|^{\frac{l+1}{2}}}(\sqrt{C_F}(x|t|)^{\frac{d_F}{2}})^u y^{1-(\sigma+u)}(\log y)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1|dw|+ \\
&\quad+\int_{L_{\pm1}}\frac{(\log|v|)^{m-r}}{|v|^{l+1}}\frac{(1+|t+v|)^{\frac{d_F(\sigma+u)+e_F-q}{2}+2(m+p_F)+(m+1)f_F}}{|t|^{\frac{d_F(\sigma+u)+e_F-q}{2}+2(m+p_F)+(m+1)f_F}}\times \\
&\quad\times(\sqrt{C_F}(x|t|)^{\frac{d_F}{2}})^u y^{1-(\sigma+u)}(\log y)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1 dv \\
&\ll y^{1-\sigma}(\log y)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}|t|^{-\frac{l}{2}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

under the condition $\sqrt{C_F}(x|t|)^{\frac{d_F}{2}}=y$, where the following estimate was used:

$$\left(\int_{\pm\sqrt{|t|}}^{\pm\frac{|t|}{2}}+\int_{\pm\frac{|t|}{2}}^{\pm2|t|}+\int_{\pm2|t|}^{\pm\infty}\right)\frac{(1+|t+v|)^{M_F-d_F}}{|t|^{M_F-d_F}}\frac{(\log|v|)^{m-r}}{|v|^{l+1}}dv =: J_1+J_2+J_3.$$

Since $1\ll 1+|t+v|\ll|t|$ when $v\in[-2|t|,-|t|/2]\cup[|t|/2,2|t|]$ and

$$1+|t+v| \asymp \begin{cases} |t| & \text{when } v\in[-|t|/2,-\sqrt{|t|}]\cup[\sqrt{|t|},|t|/2], \\ |v| & \text{when } v\in(-\infty,-2|t|]\cup[2|t|,\infty), \end{cases}$$

J_j $(j=1,2,3)$ were estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\ll (\log|t|)^{m-r}\int_{\pm\sqrt{|t|}}^{\pm\frac{|t|}{2}}\frac{dv}{|v|^{l+1}} \ll |t|^{-\frac{l}{2}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}, \\
J_2 &\ll |t|^{\max\{0,d_F-M_F\}-(l+1)}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\int_{\pm\frac{|t|}{2}}^{\pm2|t|}\frac{dv}{(1+|t+v|)^{\max\{0,d_F-M_F\}}} \\
&\ll |t|^{-l+\max\{0,d_F-M_F\}}(\log|t|)^{m-r} \ll |t|^{-\frac{l}{2}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}, \\
J_3 &\ll \frac{1}{|t|^{M_F-d_F}}\int_{\pm2|t|}^{\pm\infty}\frac{(\log|v|)^{m-r}}{|v|^{l+1-M_F+d_F}}dv \ll |t|^{-l}(\log|t|)^{m-r},
\end{aligned}$$

where l was chosen as $l\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2\max\{0,d_F-M_F\}}$. By the same discussion of estimate of I_1',

the estimate of I_2' is obtained:

$$I_2' \ll y^{1-\sigma}(\log y)^{r+A}|t|^{-\frac{l}{2}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1. \tag{3.26}$$

Therefore combining (3.16)–(3.20), (3.25)–(3.26) we obtain (3.14). Since we can obtain (3.15) from the same discussion in the above, Proposition 3.2 is showed. □

Finally, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we choose parameters $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\sqrt{C_F}(x|t|)^{\frac{d_F}{2}} = y_1$ and $\sqrt{C_F}(x^{-1}|t|)^{\frac{d_F}{2}} = y_2$, that is, $y_1y_2 = C_F|t|^{d_F}$. By combining Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and using (3.9), $F^{(m)}(s)$ is approximated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&F^{(m)}(s)\\
&= \sum_{n\le 2y_1} \frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s} \sum_{j=0}^{l} \varphi^{(j)}\left(\frac{n}{y_1}\right)\left(-\frac{n}{y_1}\right)^j \gamma_j^{(0)}\left(s; \frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right) +\\
&+ \chi_F(s)\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\sum_{n\le 2y_2}\frac{\overline{a_F(n)}(-\log n)^r}{n^{1-s}}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\varphi_0^{(j)}\left(\frac{n}{y_2}\right)\left(-\frac{n}{y_2}\right)^j \times\\
&\times \delta_j^{(m-r)}\left(1-s; \frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_q^{\lambda_q})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right) + O(y_1^{1-\sigma}(\log y_1)^{m+A}|t|^{-\frac{l}{2}}\|\varphi^{(l+1)}\|_1) +\\
&+ O\left(y_2^{\sigma}|t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)-\frac{l}{2}}\|\varphi_0^{(l+1)}\|_1\sum_{r=0}^{m}(\log y_2)^{r+A}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.7 and dividing sums of $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ to term of $j = 0$ and sum of $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$, we complete proof of Theorem 1.1.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 from the approximate functional equation containing characteristic functions, we use Lemma 2.8. For any functions $X : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, we define $M_X(s)$ to

$$\begin{aligned}
M_X(s) :=& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s}X\left(\frac{n}{y_1}\right) +\\
&+\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\chi_F^{(m-r)}(s)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\overline{a_F(n)}(-\log n)^r}{n^{1-s}}X_0\left(\frac{n}{y_2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$) in Theorem 1.1 we can write

$$F^{(m)}(s) = M_{\varphi_\alpha}(s) + R_{\varphi_\alpha}(s) = M_\xi(s) + O(M_{\varphi_\alpha-\xi}(s) + R_{\varphi_\alpha}(s)) \tag{4.1}$$

Here $M_\xi(s)$ and $M_{\varphi_\alpha-\xi}(s) + R_{\varphi_\alpha}(s)$ are written as

$$M_\xi(s) = \sum_{n\le y}\frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s} + \sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\chi_F^{(m-r)}(s)\sum_{n\le y}\frac{\overline{a_F(n)}(-\log n)^m}{n^{1-s}} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$M_{\varphi_\alpha-\xi}(s)+R_{\varphi_\alpha}(s)=E(s)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_F(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s}S_0\left(\frac{n}{y_1}\right)+$$
$$+\chi_F(s)\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^r\binom{m}{r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\overline{a_F(n)}(-\log n)^r}{n^{1-s}}T_{m-r}\left(\frac{n}{y_2}\right)$$

respectively, where $E(s)$, $S_0(\rho)$ and $T_r(\rho)$ are given by

$$E(s)=O\left(y_1^{1-\sigma}(\log y_1)^{m+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}|t|^{-\frac{l}{2}}\|\varphi_\alpha^{(l+1)}\|_1\right)+$$
$$+O\left(y_2^{\sigma}|t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)-\frac{l}{2}}\|\varphi_{0\alpha}^{(l+1)}\|_1\textstyle\sum_{r=0}^{m}(\log y_2)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\right).$$

$$S_0(\rho)=(\varphi_\alpha-\xi)(\rho)+\sum_{j=1}^{l}\varphi_\alpha^{(j)}(\rho)(-\rho)^j\gamma_j^{(0)}\left(s;\frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_r^{\lambda_r})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right),$$

$$T_{m-r}(\rho)=(\varphi_{0\alpha}-\xi)(\rho)\frac{\chi_F^{(m-r)}}{\chi_F}(s)+$$
$$+\sum_{j=1}^{l}\varphi_{0\alpha}^{(j)}(\rho)(-\rho)^j\delta_j^{(m-r)}\left(1-s;\frac{1}{(\lambda_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\lambda_r^{\lambda_r})^{\frac{2}{d_F}}|t|}\right),$$

Since $S_0(\rho)=0$ and $T_{m-r}(\rho)=0$ for $\rho\in[0,(1+|t|^{-\alpha})^{-1}]\cup[1+|t|^{-\alpha},\infty)$ by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, we have

$$E(s)\ll y_1^{1-\sigma}(\log y_1)^{m+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}|t|^{(\alpha-\frac{l}{2})l}+$$
$$+y_2^{\sigma}|t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)+(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})l}\textstyle\sum_{r=0}^{m}(\log y_2)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\qquad(4.3)$$

for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and

$$S_0(\rho)\ll 1+\sum_{j=1}^{l}|t|^{\alpha j}|t|^{-\frac{j}{2}}\ll 1,\qquad(4.4)$$

$$T_{m-r}(\rho)\ll(\log|t|)^{m-r}+\sum_{j=1}^{l}|t|^{\alpha j}|t|^{-\frac{j}{2}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}\ll(\log|t|)^{m-r}\qquad(4.5)$$

for $\rho\in[(1+|t|^{-\alpha})^{-1},1+|t|^{-\alpha}]$ under the condition $\alpha\in[0,1/2]$. Now we choose $\alpha=1/2-\varepsilon$ and $l\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1/(2\varepsilon)}$. By the condition (d) of Selberg class and the estimates (3.9), (4.3)–(4.5) and $(1+|t|^{-\alpha})y_1-(1+|t|^{-\alpha})^{-1}y_1\leq 2|t|^{-\alpha}y_1$, $M_{\varphi_\alpha-\xi}(s)+R_{\varphi_\alpha}(s)$ is estimated as

$$M_{\varphi_\alpha-\xi}(s)+R_{\varphi_\alpha}(s)$$
$$\ll y_1^{1-\sigma}(\log y_1)^{m+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}|t|^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})l}+$$
$$+y_2^{\sigma}|t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)+(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})l}\sum_{r=0}^{m}(\log y_2)^{r+\max\{p_F-1,0\}}(\log|t|)^{m-r}+$$
$$+\sum_{(1+|t|^{-\alpha})^{-1}y_1\leq n\leq(1+|t|^{-\alpha})y_1}\frac{|a_F(n)|(\log n)^m}{n^\sigma}+$$

$$+ |t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)} \sum_{r=0}^{m} (\log|t|)^{m-r} \sum_{(1+|t|^{-\alpha})^{-1} y_2 \le n \le (1+|t|^{-\alpha}) y_2} \frac{|\overline{a_F(n)}|(\log n)^r}{n^{1-\sigma}} +$$

$$\ll y_1^{1-\sigma+\varepsilon}|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} + y_2^{\sigma+\varepsilon}|t|^{d_F(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.6}$$

Hence combining (4.1), (4.2) and (4.6) we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

References

[1] M. Aoki and M. Minamide, *A zero density estimate for the derivatives of the Riemann zeta function*, Journal for Algebra and Number Theory Academia **2** (2012), 361–365.

[2] K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, *The approximate functional equation for a class of zeta functions*, Math. Ann. **152** (1963), 30–64.

[3] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil. I*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **43** (1974), 273–307.

[4] A. Good, *Approximative Funktionalgleichungen und Mittelwertsätze für Dirichletreihen, die Spitzenformen assoziiert sind*, Comment. Math. Helv. **50** (1975), 327–361.

[5] E. Landau, *Über die Anzahl der Gitterpunkte in Gewissen Bereichen. II*, Nachr. Ge Wiss Göttingen (1915), 209–243.

[6] R. A. Rankin, *Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar functions. II. The order of the Fourier coefficients of integral modular forms*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **35** (1939), 357–373.

[7] A. Selberg, *Bemerkungen über eine Dirichletsche Reihe, die mit der Theorie der Modulformen nahe verbunden ist*, Arch. Math. Naturvid. **43** (1940), 47–50.

[8] A. Selberg, *Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series*, In Proc. Amalfi Conf. Analytic Number Theory, E. Bombieri et al. eds., 367–385, Universitàdi Salerno 1992; Collected Papers, Vol. II, 47–63, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1991.

[9] Y. Yashiro, *Approximate functional equation and mean value formula for the derivative of L-functions attached to cusp forms*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. (1) **53** (2015), 97–122.

[10] Y. Yashiro, *Zeros of the derivates of L-functions attached to cusp forms*, Bulletin Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **64** (2016), 147–164.